



---

Post described it all as “a face-saving move by Trump and congressional Republicans who badly want to end the government shutdown over Trump’s demand for billions of dollars to fund the wall.” The “physical” part of the funding for the border wall was the only element they did not describe as a “face saving move.” Meanwhile, much of the American mainstream media seemed to have ignored the government closure entirely. In the first week of the shutdown, that did not seem like a major problem. The partial shutdown, the government closures, the back and forth between the White House and the Congress seemed like a story that could be ignored by those who want to simply get on with their lives. That changed on Thursday when the media suddenly became incensed that House Speaker Nancy Pelosi and other Democratic leaders were working with the White House on a “compromise” on border security, involving a “down payment” for the wall and allowing current border agents to remain on the border. As my colleague Chris Geidner noted, it was important that this narrative involved the entire House and not just Democrats. The New York Times reporter on the issue noted that while it was “standard practice for House and Senate negotiators to discuss a budget with each other, never before had those talks included a day-long debate over border security.” This might be why the story got bigger overnight. If the mainstream media suddenly began to report the fact that there was “a day long debate” on the border, it must have seemed to the public as though the White House had done something that the media hadn’t been reporting. So, the reason that the media is getting incensed is that the shutdown means the media are not getting new stories. By Thursday morning, the Post and the Times were practically on fire with the same story. It 82157476af

[exeba comm 9.0 full version](#)  
[Graphics Dll For Ps3 Emulator 194](#)  
[Moon Embracing The Sun Tagalog Version Full Movie Gma 7](#)